

03	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who										
<p><b>Date of MS Teams meeting: 13<sup>th</sup> April 2022</b></p>														
1a	<p><b>CATG change to Local Highway &amp; Footway Improvement Groups (LHFIG)</b></p>													
<p>There are potential changes to the format of the CATG as agreed in the budget for 2022/23. The groups names will be changed to Local Highway &amp; Footway Improvement Groups (LHFIG), there is potential for additional funding and to widen the scope of works the groups can implement. The new process is due to be ratified by Cabinet by the end of April 2022. The process for submitting issues is unchanged therefore continue as set out below:</p> <p><b>Please see link to complete the highway improvements form: <a href="https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council-democracy-area-boards">https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council-democracy-area-boards</a> and submit to <a href="mailto:CATGRequests@wiltshire.gov.uk">CATGRequests@wiltshire.gov.uk</a></b></p>														
1b.	<p><b>Attendees and apologies</b></p>													
<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%; vertical-align: top;">Present:</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Cllr Graham Wright (Chair, WC), Cllr Kevin Daley (WC), Cllr Mark Verbinnen (WC), Cllr Ian Blair-Pilling (WC), Cllr Robert Yuill (WC), Cllr Monica Devendran (WC), Kate Davey (WC Highways), Rhiann Surgenor (WC Highways), Richard Harris &amp; David Hassett (Shrewton PC), Rae Owen (Woodford PC), Andy Shuttleworth (Winterbourne Stoke PC), Steven Black (South Newton PC), Sean Noble (Great Wishford PC), Graham Jenkins (Bulford PC), Peter Paul (Durrington TC), Ted Mustard (Middle Woodford PC), Rob Coultard (Enford PC). Christopher Coats (Orcheston PC), Dot Georgeson, Sandra Burch (Figheldean PC), Fred Oiner</td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Apologies:</td> <td style="vertical-align: top;">Deborah Potter (Tilshead PC), Jacqui Abbott (WC), Spencer Drinkwater (WC), Nikki Spreadbury Clew (Shrewton PC), Cllr Damien Kuczera (Deputy Major Amesbury), Richie Ayling (Netheravon PC).</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>					Present:	Cllr Graham Wright (Chair, WC), Cllr Kevin Daley (WC), Cllr Mark Verbinnen (WC), Cllr Ian Blair-Pilling (WC), Cllr Robert Yuill (WC), Cllr Monica Devendran (WC), Kate Davey (WC Highways), Rhiann Surgenor (WC Highways), Richard Harris & David Hassett (Shrewton PC), Rae Owen (Woodford PC), Andy Shuttleworth (Winterbourne Stoke PC), Steven Black (South Newton PC), Sean Noble (Great Wishford PC), Graham Jenkins (Bulford PC), Peter Paul (Durrington TC), Ted Mustard (Middle Woodford PC), Rob Coultard (Enford PC). Christopher Coats (Orcheston PC), Dot Georgeson, Sandra Burch (Figheldean PC), Fred Oiner				Apologies:	Deborah Potter (Tilshead PC), Jacqui Abbott (WC), Spencer Drinkwater (WC), Nikki Spreadbury Clew (Shrewton PC), Cllr Damien Kuczera (Deputy Major Amesbury), Richie Ayling (Netheravon PC).			
Present:	Cllr Graham Wright (Chair, WC), Cllr Kevin Daley (WC), Cllr Mark Verbinnen (WC), Cllr Ian Blair-Pilling (WC), Cllr Robert Yuill (WC), Cllr Monica Devendran (WC), Kate Davey (WC Highways), Rhiann Surgenor (WC Highways), Richard Harris & David Hassett (Shrewton PC), Rae Owen (Woodford PC), Andy Shuttleworth (Winterbourne Stoke PC), Steven Black (South Newton PC), Sean Noble (Great Wishford PC), Graham Jenkins (Bulford PC), Peter Paul (Durrington TC), Ted Mustard (Middle Woodford PC), Rob Coultard (Enford PC). Christopher Coats (Orcheston PC), Dot Georgeson, Sandra Burch (Figheldean PC), Fred Oiner													
Apologies:	Deborah Potter (Tilshead PC), Jacqui Abbott (WC), Spencer Drinkwater (WC), Nikki Spreadbury Clew (Shrewton PC), Cllr Damien Kuczera (Deputy Major Amesbury), Richie Ayling (Netheravon PC).													

2.	<b>Notes of last meeting</b>			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 2 <sup>nd</sup> February 2022 were accepted as a true record.	Noted and agreed.	
3.	<b>Financial Position</b>			
		See Finance sheet. 2022/23 allocation is £35,462.00. 2021/22 underspend was £55,425.48 and the current commitments total £48,124.66 giving a remaining budget of £50,793.98.	Noted and agreed.	
4.	<b>Top 5 Priority Schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b>1-21-13</b> Great Wishford – gateway/planter</p>	<p>It has been brought to the attention of Great Wishford Parish Council by villagers that the three access roads into the village could be greatly improved by a gateway / planter. The roads are narrow so they only request it on one side near the village signs. A resident has offered to be responsible for the aftercare of the planters. A councillor has already had plans drawn up.</p> <p>No representative present at the July meeting therefore this issue has been deferred. Request for representative to attend next meeting to promote this issue.</p> <p>Group agreed to support this issue. Site meeting undertaken in December 2021. Proposal plan attached to end of the agenda. Cost estimates as follows:  <b>**Prices may vary depending on style of gate chosen by PC</b>            Site 1 Langford Rd - <b>£1,727.44 (CATG £1,295.58, PC £431.86)</b>            Site 2 West Street - <b>£1,611.83 (CATG £1,208.87, PC £402.96)</b>            Site 3 Station Rd - <b>£3,285.38 (CATG £2,464.04, PC £821.35)</b></p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Resource allocated and project ongoing. Monitor design and implementation in due course.</p>	KD

		<p>Group agreed funding of all sites. Great Wishford PC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>Design and construction to take place in new financial year.</p>		
b)	<p><b>1-21-19</b> Durrington, Marina Crescent <b><u>No. 1 Priority</u></b></p>	<p>Access to Marina Crescent is restrictive as the road is so narrow and on road parking compounds this problem. Request to make Marina Crescent a one way system, in doing so would assist greatly in the access and exiting this road.</p> <p>Cllr Paul survey on Marina Crescent. Narrow road and difficulty for emergency vehicles. Witnessed cars meet and have to reverse out onto Stonehenge Rd. Group agree to support the issue.</p> <p>Proposal at end of the agenda. Cost estimate in the region of £5,000 (CATG £3,750, TC £1,250).</p> <p>Group discussion on pros and cons for implementing this type of restriction. Mixed views on the outcome. Group agreed not to proceed at this time. Move to other priorities. Discuss further at town council level.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Durrington TC confirmed this project will not proceed at this time.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Remove from agenda and close issue.</p>	KD
c)	<p><b>1-21-15</b> Shrewton, London Road – Traffic Calming</p>	<p>Excessively high volume of vehicles using this route as a 'rat run' to avoid the A303. See attached PDF titled 'substantive bid application 2021' (3 attachments). Traffic calming scheme along London Road to deter non local traffic.</p> <p>Liaised with Shrewton PC to refine plan and substantive bid submission. CATG chair agreed contribution of £12,500 towards the bid. Substantive bid submitted September 2021. Awaiting outcome. Substantive bid process complete. Results now published and unfortunately London Road, Shrewton were not successful this time.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Continue to work on bid for this year looking at ways to improve success with options to investigate the possibility of incorporating pedestrian facilities and get a more in depth grasp of the full construction cost implications.</p>	

		Meeting held on 23/3/22 with Cllr Wright and Shrewton PC to discuss amendments to design ready for 2022/23 submission.	<b><u>ACTION</u></b> Work on design and cost revisions in conjunction with Shrewton PC	KD
d)	<b><u>1-21-21</u></b> Bulford, junction 15 & 16 roundabouts	<p>There are inadequate safe crossing points for pedestrians on both Junction 15 &amp; 16 in Bulford Village. Bulford Parish Council has raised this issue with leader of the council on numerous occasions and the local Councillor fully supports our case. Council requests that pedestrian crossing surveys are carried out at both junctions. Supporting information attached to end of the agenda.</p> <p>GW conducted site visit with PC to assess pedestrian issues surrounding mini roundabouts. Bulford PC confirmed this is the No 1 priority.</p> <p>KD attended site on 5/4/22 with Cllr Verbinnen and Graham Jenkins to discuss proposals for informal crossing points.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTIONS</u></b> Rearrange site meeting with Cllr Verbinnen and Graham Jenkins for start of May</p> <p>Subsequently provide design and estimate to PC for review</p>	KD  KD
e)	1-21-24 Amesbury Church Street	<p>Residents entrance is blocked by cars parking for hours on end in front of the gates in the "KEEP CLEAR" Zone and deliveries park across the gates (double Yellow lines and "Keep Clear" Area ) when delivering to the Dunkirk club and the Antrobus Arms Hotel. This can take two hours to find the driver sometimes. Second issue is that, cars wait in the "KEEP CLEAR" zone to go into the traffic calming so its difficult to gain access to the driveway. Request for bollards in the carriageway to ensure vehicles wait behind them before entering the traffic calming area along with no waiting sign in front of bollards.</p> <p>Duputy Major confirmed this is Amesbury TC No 1 priority. Issues with on street parking on both sides of Church Street. Obstruction is for the police to enforce. Site meeting arranged for 12/04/22 to discuss options.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Cllr Wright discussed outcome of recent site meeting with Deputy Major with options for extending waiting restrictions.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Collect batch of waiting restrictions for the area to implement during the course of this financial year.</p>	KD

5	<b>Priorities already agreed, not yet implemented</b>			
a)	<p><b>1-21-5</b> B3083 between A303 and Berwick St James</p>	<p>The southern B3083 runs from the A303 in the north at Winterbourne Stoke and travels in a general southwards direction through Berwick St James and onwards towards Stapleford where it joins the A36. The subject of this letter is the section between Winterbourne Stoke and Berwick St James and, the area either side of the junction between the B3083 and the public footpaths known as WST01/BSJA6.</p> <p>Please refer to item attached to agenda for full details of issues and request for new infrastructure.</p> <p>At February meeting it was agreed to defer to next meeting and request a representative is present to discuss the issue further.</p> <p>At July meeting group agreed to move to top priority list and for KD to investigate signing improvements. KD and RS met with Andy Shuttleworth on site in August.</p> <p>Proposal sent to Winterbourne Stoke PC and Berwick St James PC for review. Please see attached proposal for signing and road marking improvements. The cost estimate is £2,000 (CATG 75% = £1,500, PC 25% = £500)</p> <p>Group agreed to go ahead with the scheme and for WSPC to contribute but not BSTJ PC due to it being a small parish with limited funds.</p> <p>Signing works complete. Road marking to be laid during spring 2022.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for completion. Winterbourne Stoke have confirmed contribution of the full 25% £625 for completion of the speed limit assessment.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> PC explained complaint from business owner which has been responded to and resolved.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Road markings to be completed in due course.</p> <p>Investigate issues with the surface causing a safety issue to vehicles.</p> <p>Leave this item on the agenda to allow for speed limit assessment to be completed.</p>	<p>KD</p> <p>RS</p> <p>KD</p>

b)	<p><u>1-21-10, 1-21-11, 1-21-12</u> C42 Woodford Valley – speeding and lack of footways</p>	<p>Discussion at the February meeting on issues 1-21-10, 1-21-11 and 1-21-12. PC and residents explained concerns over speeding traffic and lack of footways. All agreed to take a holistic approach to combine the Woodford issues and firstly look at speed limit review throughout Woodford Valley. Group agreed to fund assessment at £2,500 subject to Woodford PC contribution of 25%, TBC.</p> <p>AC suggested PC instruct parish steward to look at clearing undergrowth by railings. It was suggested the parish build an evidence log to be submitted to the consultant for consideration when the review is conducted.</p> <p>KD arranged site meeting with Woodford Parish Council which took place on 24<sup>th</sup> June 2021. Woodford Parish Council have confirmed 25% contribution towards the speed limit assessment. Woodford PC to confirm the extent of the assessment before I instruct the consultant to start. Woodford PC also confirmed they will arrange for the vegetation on the hill at the southern end of Upper Woodford to be cleared in order for us to assess whether a virtual footway can be accommodated.</p> <p>Extent plan confirmed with PC. Speed limit assessment submitted to consultant for action. Due to Covid-19 restrictions there is still a large backlog of traffic surveys to be completed across the county. I will continue to chase this speed limit assessment and provide an update in due course.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment final report and recommendation is imminent.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Send speed limit assessment recommendations to Woodford PC as soon as received.</p>	KD
----	---	---	---	----

c)	Durnford Speed limits	<p>Concerns over the lack of speed limit through Great Drunford village. Request for a 30mph speed limit to be implemented.</p> <p>Durnford PC discussed issues in more details. Expressed there is no speed limit at all or any footways. Cllr Daley supports this issue and so do the rest of the group. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Durnford PC.</p> <p>Issues highlighted with planning apps and process with highways development control. Cllr Wright committed to investigating this issue.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment anticipated completion June 2022.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Monitor progress of speed limit assessment to ensure completion by end of June.</p>	KD
d)	Enford – C32 Coombe & East Chisenbury	<p>Request for a speed limit assessment to reduce the existing 30mph speed limit to 20mph. This has been submitted as two separate requests but the Parish Council would like the group to agree for these to be combined into one assessment cost.</p> <p>No representative at the meeting. However, the chair Cllr Wright, Cllr Blair Pilling, Kate Davey and Enford PC had a subsequent meeting to discuss the speed limit assessment. It was agreed to proceed. Contribution confirmed by Enford PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment anticipated completion June 2022.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Monitor progress of speed limit assessment to ensure completion by end of June.</p>	KD
e)	<p><b><u>1-21-17</u></b> A345 Netheravon <b><u>No. 1 Priority</u></b></p>	<p>Road Safety and Speeding. The Parish Council would like the speed limit reduced to 40 mph. There are houses and flats along the edge of the A345 and 8 turnings to the east of the road plus a Caravan Park and other collections of houses which enter the A345. Three of the turnings to the north have very poor visibility onto the A345 and many accidents plus fatalities have occurred over several years.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Monitor progress of speed limit assessment to ensure completion by end of June.</p>	KD

		<p>Netheravon PC promoted issue and expressed they are wanting to use CSW if the limit can be reduced. Group agreed to progress. Contribution confirmed by Netheravon PC.</p> <p>Speed limit assessment anticipated completion June 2022.</p>		
f)	<p><u>1-21-8</u> Redworth Drive, Amesbury</p>	<p>A pedestrian pathway is being used by motor vehicles presenting a risk to pedestrians using the pathway and impacting on the structural integrity of the walls surrounding the path. The path runs along the side of a residential house providing front-door access to four houses and also links the Archers Gate development to an older development. Vehicles are now increasingly using the pathway – takeaway delivery drivers and property maintenance companies looking after the four houses. The entrance to the pathway has a dropped kerb and is wide enough for a transit van. The dropped kerb would have been put in place to support buggies and disability scooters but unlike other similar pathways on Archers Gate no protection was put in place to stop vehicles using the path. Request for small bollard at the entrance to the pathway would stop vehicles accessing the pathway.</p> <p>Cllr Yuill and group as a whole are supportive of this issue. Leave on agenda for progression when space on the top 5 priority list becomes available.</p> <p>There is an option to install a removable bollard set back into the alleyway where the width increases. This bollard type has a key locking socket system to allow for its removal for access (grass cutting/maintenance works). The costs for supply and installation are likely to be in the region of £1,000.</p> <p>Works complete on site, invoice to be raised.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Scheme complete and invoiced. Remove and close.</p>	KD



6.	<b>Other Priority schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b>1-20-15</b> Durrington 20mph speed limit assessment</p>	<p>The Roads listed below are without adequate footways and sit within the Conservation Area of the oldest parts of Durrington Village. These areas were never designed for modern traffic volumes and without footways pedestrians are at higher risk than other parts of the village.</p> <p>Roads to be included in 20mph assessment are:            Hackthorne Road (full length) which leads to Church Street (full length) which leads to The Ham (no through rd). The north end of Bulford Road (from jnctn Church St to Glebe Road to include School Rd which is also a no through rd ).            College Rd (full length). High Street from junction of Church Street to Ridgmount.</p> <p>Group agreed to fund 20mph assessment at £2,500. Durrington TC agreed 25% contribution of £625.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken by KD and traffic survey sites located. No order for the survey work has been placed yet due to Covid-19 lockdown restrictions. This work will be resumed when restrictions are eased.</p> <p>Assessments have now recommenced as Covid-19 restrictions have eased. It is anticipated traffic surveys will be undertaken over the summer period with the report being finalised in the Autumn.</p> <p>Due to Covid-19 restrictions there is still a large backlog of traffic surveys to be completed across the county. I will continue to chase this speed limit assessment and provide an update in due course.</p> <p>Group agreed to fund 20mph speed limit implementation. Total costs approx. £11,000. CATG £8,250, DTC 2,750.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b>            Resource allocated and work ongoing to collate legal documentation ready for consulting on change to speed limit.</p>	<p>KD</p>

		Durrington TC to confirm contribution. Design & implement in new financial year.		
b)	<a href="#">5794</a> Telegraph Hill/Salisbury Road, Bulford 7.5t weight restriction	<p>At the bottom of the hill just entering the village it is dangerous if not impossible for 2 heavy vehicles to pass, e.g. double decker bus, articulated lorry, tracked vehicle. No further action can be taken until FAPM process has been reviewed. Group agreed to keep issue open. Refer to freight management update attached to this agenda for more information.</p> <p>Bulford PC requested that the action be with them to supply details to be sent to Spencer Drinkwater. KD chased Spencer Drinkwater for LTP4 completion date April 2020.</p> <p>Due to Covid-19 pandemic resource has been allocated to the response and therefore the LTP4 is currently in the development phase, no formal timescale for completion given yet.</p> <p>Cllr Smale and Bulford PC confirmed this is still an issue and is getting increasing worse. Area Board to write to Wiltshire Council for a decision. Cllr Robert Yuill is still waiting for a response to his letter. Bulford PC are also still waiting for a response from the Leader of Wiltshire Council – February 2021. Request update from Cllr Yuill on response to his letter. At July 2021 meeting the group agreed to leave on the agenda for now.</p> <p>Chair Cllr Graham Wright &amp; Cllr Kevin Daley agreed to push this forward with Transport Planning.</p>	<p><b>DISCUSSION</b>            Cllr Wright and Cllr Daley confirmed there is no further progress on this issue.</p> <p><b>ACTION</b>            Remove and close as this is not something this group can progress.</p> <p>Arrange meeting with PC to discuss next steps on this matter.</p>	KD  Cllr Wright/ Cllr Daley
c)	<a href="#">5795</a> A3028 from Double Hedges approaching new roundabout - No waiting at any time	<p>A new path has been introduced between Bulford and Solstice Park Amesbury. Accordingly the approach pavement at the Bulford end has been widened parking bays marked on the opposite side of the road and a preferred crossing installed. People are now parking half on the</p>	<p><b>DISCUSSION</b>            Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p><b>ACTION</b></p>	KD

		<p>kerb adjacent to this crossing vert near to the junction which is particularly dangerous.</p> <p>BPC asked for this scheme to be put on hold until the outcome of discussions with DIO regarding S106 works in the village.</p> <p>Process for requesting waiting restrictions is for the Town/Parish Council to complete request form and submit to Network Management for assessment when next review is completed. Group agreed for this issue to be left open for now.</p> <p>AC confirmed waiting restrictions are not proposed as part of the works. JA invited project manager from DIO to attend Area Board meeting. Group agreed to leave this on the agenda for now, Bulford PC to resolve at strategic level.</p> <p>AC confirmed double mini roundabout is now public highway. Pedestrian survey to be carried out post lockdown but currently unclear as to who will complete.</p> <p>KD has spoken with Network Management who have confirmed that the waiting restriction reviews are moving forward now Covid-19 restrictions are easing. This location is on the list ready to be assessed. In the meantime if Bulford PC can supply photo evidence of the parking issues at this site it will help determine the extent of restrictions required.</p> <p>Bulford PC to supply photographic evidence of the extent of the issues. KD chased timescale for review on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2021. This issue has been referred to Network Management for action.</p> <p>Chair Cllr Graham Wright agreed to push this forward with Network Management. Consider implementation through CATG.</p>	<p>Review request whilst at site meeting for informal crossing points with PC</p> <p>Collect batch of waiting restrictions for the area to implement during the course of this financial year</p>	KD
--	--	--	---	----

<p>d)</p>	<p><b>1-21-16</b> Shrewton, Tanners Lane – damage to property</p>	<p>Resident of No. 1 Tanners Lane is concerned that the corner of the property keeps getting hit by passing vehicles. It is an old cob building and each time it is hit the resident is worried that it is causing structural damage as well as costing money to repair the cob and guttering. Request for bollard/pole to be installed on the corner to protect the property. Please see attached photos at the end of the agenda.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken during September 2021. Options for a solution to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>Solutions to amend/add road markings and a bollard to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>Cllr Daley explained his site visit to this location with Cabinet Member for Highways Cllr Mark McClelland and the issues regarding property damage. Cllr Daley to chase option of rerouting idVerde vehicles in the other direction.</p> <p>KD to progress road marking adjustments in spring 2022.</p> <p>Further correspondence from the property owner in November 2021 received, indicating its not only refuse vehicles but other lorries delivering along Tanners Lane or using it as a short cut. Resident has suggest one tall post be installed at the corner of the property.</p> <p>Nikki Spreadbury Clew to send Cllr Wright email information on this issue. Cllr Wright to look at site and discuss options with Shrewton PC and KD.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Provide proposal plan for a bollard and road marking amendments to PC for review.</p>	<p>KD</p>
<p>e)</p>	<p>1-21-18 C283 From Stoford bottom to A36 junction <b><u>No. 1 Priority</u></b></p>	<p>The C283 is a well known "rat-run" for traffic from the A360 to the A36; the volumes and speed of the traffic increase when there are difficulties upon the A303. There are no footpaths located on either side of the narrow road. Traffic speeds through the village in excess of</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> PC confirmed traffic survey submitted and awaiting results in due course.</p>	

		<p>the identified 30 mph restriction and is a danger to all inhabitants (both young and old) of the village.</p> <p>The traffic speed survey, performed in December 2019, identified -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) 85th percentile speed was 37.4mph.</li> <li>ii) 57.4% of recorded vehicles were exceeding the posted speed limit.</li> </ul> <p>Request for existing 30mph speed limit to be reduced to 20mph and for a pedestrian walkway from the upper entrance to the Mount Pleasant Estate to the A36 junction adjacent to the Swan public house.</p> <p>South Newton &amp; Stoford PC discussed issues in more detail. Cllr Daley supports issue. Action with PC to submit traffic survey in location where CSW takes place to determine a more recent average speed.</p> <p>PC contacted KD to clarify traffic survey procedure and they have submitted a request. Add virtual footway to issue and investigate once survey is complete.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Request survey is not carried out during last week of April when works are taking place on A360 which might cause unusual disruption to the route.</p>	KD
f)	<p>1-21-20 Durrington, A3028 mini roundabout junction with Bulford Road</p>	<p>Concerns raised over the eastward travelling vehicles not giving way at the mini roundabout to traffic coming from Bulford Road. Request for road marking improvements to include give way lining and sign.</p> <p>Leave this issue until it becomes No. 1 priority for Durrington TC.</p> <p>Durrington TC to discuss top priorities locally and clarify the direction in which this issue occurs.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Provide design and estimate to Durrington TC for review.</p>	KD
g)	<p>1-21-22 Durnford, southern parish boundary between Avon Bridge and Avon Farm</p>	<p>Four vehicles have left the road here in a year. All single vehicle incidents. Two crashed into south west side bringing down same BT pole, replaced twice by Open Reach. Two crashed north east side, one demolishing hedge, repaired by Little Durnford estate, one</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Woodford PC/Durnford PC confirmed support for this issue.</p>	

		<p>demolished bridge parapet next to it, which is still unrepaired after a year.  <a href="https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/off-road-towards-woodford">https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/off-road-towards-woodford</a>  <a href="https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/investigation-of-black-spot-underway">https://www.stratfordsubcastle.org.uk/post/investigation-of-black-spot-underway</a></p> <p>Parish boundary confirmed as location situated with Woodford Parish. Potential to explore warning signs to highlight hazards along this route.</p>	<p><b><u>ACTION</u></b>  Provide design and estimate to PC for review.</p>	KD
h)	1-21-23 Amesbury, Devereux Road	<p>Resident raised concerns regarding not being able to access their driveway either coming in or going out when on street parking is taking place on the road directly opposite. Specifically outside of 13 -14 Devereux Road (please note both of these property have driveways). Devereux Road is very narrow. Cars from other roads are now parking in Devereux Road as they cant find a space. Residents have limited mobility and have been forced to park on the road as they can not access the drive. Request for white lines on the opposite side of the road to deter inconsiderate parking.</p> <p>Priority No 2 for Amesbury TC. Leave on agenda in other priorities. Cllr Yuill portfolio for housing and Cllr Devendran to liaise with Rhiann regarding information received from residents.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b>  Cllr Yuill, Cllr Wright and Rhiann discussed the parameters of the location being Wiltshire Council land but not public highway.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b>  Remove and close.</p> <p>Assist Housing officers with potential improvements going forward.</p>	KD  RS
<b>7.</b>	<b>New Requests / Issues</b>			
a)	1-22-1 South Newton & Stoford A36 & C283 Village gates	<p>Traffic speeds through the village in excess of the identified 30mph restriction and is a danger to all inhabitants (both young and old) The Parish Council believes that the speed of vehicles entering the villages of South Newton and Stoford (A36 and C283) could be greatly improved (i.e. decreased) by the installation of village gateways. The gateways will create 'a sense of place' when drivers enter the village, and the physical measures designed such that drivers are required to</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b>  Group agreed to proceed with this project.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b>  Investigate feasibility of installing village gates on C283</p>	KD

		<p>slow down before entry. The village entrance and the speed limit is made more obvious to vehicle drivers.</p> <p>Request for three sets of village gates. Two along the A36 and one along C283.</p> <p>Any request for infrastructure on the A36 needs to be sent to National Highways for consideration. However, the CATG can consider village gates on C283 as a project if supported.</p>		
b)	1-22-2 Orcheston 20mph speed limit	<p>Orcheston has benefited from a 30mph speed limit since 2017. Nevertheless in the past 5 years there has been a considerable increase in delivery traffic (always against the clock) as well as being the first choice for 4X4 drivers accessing Salisbury Plain. There are no pavement footpaths at all in the village and most cars are parked on the road. Where vehicles are parked off the road, access visibility is routinely poor and involves gradual “edging out”. When vehicles are parked opposite houses where residents have to “edge out”, there is no possibility of traffic avoiding vehicles “edging out”. Speed needs to be reduced.</p> <p>This matter was raised at the Parish Council in January 2022. Councillors supported a proposal to ask for a traffic survey to provide data as to the suitability of a proposed 20mph speed limit. Cllr Christopher Coats, who has been active on the Stonehenge Tunnel group, volunteered to represent the views of the parish council at the CATG. We recognise that 25% of the cost of the survey will be charged to Orcheston Parish Council.</p> <p>Request for reduce the existing 30mph speed limit to 20mph.</p>	<p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b> Group agreed to proceed with this project. PC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p><b><u>ACTION</u></b> Confirm extent of speed limit assessment with PC and submit to consultant for completion in due course.</p>	KD

<b>7.</b>	<b>Any other business</b>			
a)	Waiting restrictions	Collect list of existing waiting restriction requests to be implemented as a batch with the request from Bulford PC at Double Hedges above.		KD
b)	Highways clinic on 25 <sup>th</sup> April 2022	Cllr Wright raised awareness to a clinic he will be holding online to give all parishes and towns an opportunity to discuss issues which the new LHFIG remit has potential to investigate and fund.		
c)	Amesbury Archers Gate WR1	Cllr Yuill raised concerns relating to a lack of waiting restrictions near the school. Request needs to be supported by Amesbury TC and raised through the formally process to be discussed at the next meeting.		Cllr Yuill/Amesbury TC
d)	Future contributions	Enford PC rep asked if the contribution to all raised items will be 25%. Chair Cllr Wright confirmed this is correct.		

<b>8.</b>	
	<p><b><u>TOP FIVE PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD, ITEMS IN GREY ARE ALREADY AGREED AND CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS):</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 1-20-15 Durrington 20mph speed limit assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, Durrington PC £625)</li> <li>2. 1-21-3 Amesbury, London Road bus shelter replacement £6,600 (CATG £4,950, Amesbury TC £1,650)</li> <li>3. 1-21-5 Winterbourne Stoke/Berwick St James B3083 signing improvements £2,000 (CATG £1,750, Winterbourne PC £250)</li> <li>4. 1-21-8 Amesbury, Redworth Drive bollard installation – £1,000 (CATG £750, Amesbury TC £250)</li> <li>5. 1-21-10/12 Woodford Valley C42 speed limit assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, Woodford PC £625)</li> <li>6. 1-21-17 Netheravon A345 speed limit assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, Netheravon PC £625)</li> <li>7. Durnford speed limit assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, Durnford PC £625)</li> <li>8. 1-21-13 Great Wishford Village Gates £6,624.66 (CATG £4,968.49, Great Wishford PC £1,656.16)</li> <li>9. 1-21-5 Winterbourne Stoke/Berwick St James B3083 Speed Limit Assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, BSTJ PC £625)</li> <li>10. 1-20-15 Durrington 20mph speed limit implementation £11,000 (CATG £8,250, Durrington TC £2,750)</li> <li>11. 1-22-1 Orcheston 20mph speed limit assessment £2,500 (CATG £1,875, Orcheston PC £625)</li> </ol>



9.			
	<b>Date of Next Meeting</b>	27 <sup>th</sup> July 2022 via MS Teams	

## Amesbury Community Area Transport Group

### Highways Officer – Kate Davey

#### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Amesbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Amesbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£49,209.17**.

#### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

#### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

#### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

#### 6. Safeguarding implications

6.1 There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.

## Stonehenge CATG

### FINANCIAL SUMMARY

#### BUDGET 22-23

£35,462.00 CATG ALLOCATION 22-23

£55,425.48 2021-22 underspend

#### Contributions

Woodford PC - speed limit assessment	£625.00	Confirmed
Winterbourne Stoke PC - B3083 signing/lining improvements	£250.00	Confirmed
Amesbury TC - Redworth Drive bollard	£250.00	Confirmed
Netheravon PC - A345 speed limit assessment	£625.00	Confirmed
Durnford PC - Speed limit assessment	£625.00	Confirmed
Enford PC - speed limit assessment	£625.00	Confirmed
Great Wishford PC - village gates	£1,656.16	Confirmed
WSPC / BSTJ PC - speed limit assessment	£625.00	confirmed
Durrington TC - 20mph speed limit implementation	£2,750.00	TBC

#### Total Budget

**£98,918.64**

#### Commitments carried forward

CATG contribution to Shrewton London Rd Substantive Bid £12,500 Agreed

#### New schemes

Durrington 20mph speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Woodford Valley speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Winterbourne Stoke/Berwick St James - B3083 signing/lining	£2,000	Estimate
Amesbury Redworth Drive bollard	£1,000	Estimate
Netheravon A345 speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Durnford speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Enford speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Great Wishford village gates	£6,625	Estimate
B3083 Berwick St James speed limit assessment	£2,500	Estimate
Durrington 20mph speed limit implementation	£11,000	Estimate

Total commitment **£48,124.66**

Remaining Budget **£50,793.98**